Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision	Significan	t	☐ Administrative	
		Operational D	ecision	Decision	
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	⊠ below £25,	000	☐ below £25,000	
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000	
	over £1,000,000	☐ £100,000 to	o £500,000		
		Over £500,000			
Director ¹	Director of City Development				
Contact person:	Callum Flesher	Email: callum		.flesher@leeds.gov.uk	
Subject ² :	Design & Cost Report for S	2278 Highway Works Associated with the Construction			
-	of 129 dwellings at Land off Main Street, Carlton, WF3 3RW.				
Decision	What decision has been taken?				
details³:	The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) has:				
	 a. Noted the detail of the highway works described in the Design and Cost report and shown on the S278 Works Plan attached at Appendix 3; b. Given authority to negotiate the terms of and enter into an agreement with the developer under the provisions of Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980; whereby the works associated with the development are carried out by the developer and overseen by the Council; c. Given authority to incur expenditure of £5000 staff checking and inspection fees to be fully funded by a developer through a Section 278 Agreement. d. Approved the adoption of new highway as per the area highlighted in red as shown on drawing number E22/7918/023_03 attached at Appendix 3 so that it can be added to the Council's maintenance regime; e. Requested the City Solicitor to advertise a Draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to provide no waiting at any time restrictions (double yellow lines); and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the new TRO as advertised. A brief statement of the reasons for the decision To construct a priority-controlled junction off Main Street including dropped kerbs and tactile paving; To provide an informal pedestrian crossing on Main Street, to the left of the site access; To provide a new footway along the site frontage on Main Street, corresponding to the visibility splays of 2.4m x 33m; 				
	and violonity opiays of 2.4111	д оон,			

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

To reinstate redundant accesses on Main Street along the site frontage to full height kerb/footway; Resurfacing of Main Street to increase the skid resistance on the approach to the informal pedestrian crossing and the site access; To lay out visibility splays of 2.4m x 33m as adopted highway; To provide associated TRO for no waiting at any time restrictions (double yellow lines) at the junction bell-mouth, and along the site frontage. The details will be confirmed at detailed design stage. The TRO will be implemented following authorisation of the City Solicitor to advertise a Draft TRO; and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the TRO as advertised; To meet the requirements of the planning permission and deliver the highway improvements outlined above, the developer seeks to enter into a Section 278 Agreement (Highways Act 1980) to enable the highways works to be carried out; and To provide authority to negotiate terms and enter into a S278 Agreement for the highway works associated with the development to allow the works to be designed and carried out by the developer, overseen by the Council. Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision N/A Affected wards: Rothwell **Details of Executive Member** consultation undertaken4: Ward Councillors Rothwell Ward Members were consulted by email dated 13th November 2023. Chief Digital and Information Officer⁵ N/A. The scheme does not relate to or affect the Council's use of digital technology. Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer⁶ N/A. The scheme does not affect any Council owned land or assets. Others The Emergency Services and West Yorkshire Combined Authority (WYCA) were

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

consulted by email on 13th November 2023. Internal consultation has also been undertaken with colleagues in Highways and Transportation department by email

⁵ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

⁶ See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's land and buildings.

on 13 th November 2023.The detailed design comments will be forwarded to the Section 278 design team.					
000	1.4				
Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation					
Trevor Bowyer					
The highway works are expected to commence in Spring 2024 with full					
implementation by end of Summer 2024 subject to completion of the Section 278					
legal agreement.					
Date Added to List:-					
If Special Urganov or Congral Execution a brief atatement of the recease why it is					
impracticable to delay the decision					
There is an urgency to issuing this decision and authorising officers to negotiate and enter the Section 278 Agreement as there have been significant delays in commencing the highway works along the site frontage. The delays are mainly due to internal resourcing issues. The development is currently served with a temporary access, with no footways and limited visibility sightlines on to Main Street.					
If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
Signature		Date			
If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason					
why not possible:					
If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
Signature		Date			
Is the decision available9	☐ Yes		□ No		
for call-in?					
If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:					
Authorised decision maker ¹⁰					
Olgitature			<i>A</i>		
GTBankle	H.	10 April 202	.T		
	Officer accountable, and provided Trevor Bowyer The highway works are exposimplementation by end of Solegal agreement. Date Added to List:- If Special Urgency or Genomeraticable to delay the commencing the highway works are exposited to delay the commencing the highway works and enter the Section 278 Accommencing the highway works to internal resourcing issues access, with no footways and internal resourcing issues access, with no footways are signature If not published for 5 clear works why not possible: If published late relevant Experience is the decision available for call-in? If exempt from call-in, the council or the public: Authorised decision maker and Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer and Signature Signature	Officer accountable, and proposed timesor Trevor Bowyer The highway works are expected to commimplementation by end of Summer 2024 selegal agreement. Date Added to List:- If Special Urgency or General Exception impracticable to delay the decision There is an urgency to issuing this decision and enter the Section 278 Agreement as commencing the highway works along the to internal resourcing issues. The develop access, with no footways and limited visib. If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chesignature If not published for 5 clear working days published late relevant Executive members of call-in? If exempt from call-in, the reason why council or the public: Authorised decision maker ¹⁰ Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer – Highways & Signature	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for impler Trevor Bowyer The highway works are expected to commence in Spring implementation by end of Summer 2024 subject to complegal agreement. Date Added to List:- If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief state impracticable to delay the decision There is an urgency to issuing this decision and authorise and enter the Section 278 Agreement as there have been commencing the highway works along the site frontage. to internal resourcing issues. The development is current access, with no footways and limited visibility sightlines. If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature Date If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision why not possible: If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature Date Is the decision available Per Pes Per Pes Per Pes Per Pes Per Pes Per Pes Pes Per Pes		

 ⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
 ⁸ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 ⁹ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

¹⁰ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.